

# X-CSIT: a toolkit for simulating 2D pixel detectors

<u>Ashley Joy</u>, Matthew Wing (University College London), Steffen Hauf, Markus Kuster, Tonn Rüter (European X-Ray Free Electron Laser Facility GmbH)





### **European XFEL**

- X-ray Free Electron Laser starting in Hamburg, principally 3-24keV
- XFEL will use a range of 2D pixel detectors for imaging with various sizes and specifications
- A common simulation of these detectors was desired to
  - Predict detector performance and the effect of radiation damage
  - Aid planning experiments and analysing results

	LPD	AGIPD	DSSC	pnCCD
Pixels	500µm square	200µm square	204µm hexagonal	75µm square
Depth	500µm	500µm	500µm	300µm
Dynamic range	1x10⁵ at 12 keV	1x10 <sup>4</sup> at 12 keV	6000 at 1 keV	Spectroscopic mode
Dynamic range profile	Triple gain profile	Pre-amplifier chosen gain	DEPFET non-linear gain	Linear
Sensor size	32x128 pixels	512x128 pixels	256x128 pixels	200x128 pixels
Energy range	12 keV optimal, 1-24 keV	3-13 keV	0.5-6 keV optimal, 0.5-24 keV	0.1-15 keV



# **Objectives of X-CSIT**

- X-CSIT (X-ray Camera Simulation Toolkit) is designed to provide a single common simulation framework for the detectors at XFEL
  - At XFEL, X-CSIT will be integrated into Karabo, which will provide simulations to users as well as handling concurrent processing
- To provide a common simulation while accounting for the variety of detectors, X-CSIT needs to be highly adaptable
  - This adaptability also lends itself to use with other groups and detectors
- The toolkit provides highly modular physics simulations dependent on user provided detector definitions, separated into three sub-simulations





#### **Toolkit Details - Physics**





### **Toolkit Details – Sub-simulations**

#### **Particle Simulation**

Geant4 version 10.0, fully packaged within the particle simulation

Simulates photo electric effects, Compton and Rayleigh scattering, Fluorescence and Auger emissions

Livermore physics list validated down to 250eV

#### **Charge Simulation**

**Statistical model** 

Drift+diffusion Simulation:

For most events, only drift+diffusion is simulated, charge clouds act independent of each other

Gaussian spread with a width going as the root of the depth

**Plasma Simulation:** 

At high electron-hole densities plasma effects can occur, increasing spread and collection time

Well studied in heavy-ion detectors, less so in X-rays

Simulation will be written based on investigation done at XFEL next year

#### **Electronics Simulation**

Set of modular devices simulating an electronic component, e.g. amplification, digitization

These devices are chained together to form a functional representation of a circuit

Empirically driven based on expected performance or calibration data

Karabo integration will add GUI tools to layout the modules



#### **Toolkit Details – Charge Simulation**



Charge diffusion produces a 2D Gaussian spread over distance, when this crosses a pixel boundary charge sharing occurs This is approximated with two orthogonal 1D Gaussians, which then use the cumulative distribution function to find the charge crossing a boundary

charge crossing a boundary R.F. Fowler et al. Nucl. Instr. And meth. A 477 (2002) 226

The width of this spread depends on interaction depth and the electric field due to the bias voltage



# **Initial Testing**

- X-CSIT will require testing and validation before it can be released or made available to users at European XFEL
- A simulation of a pnCCD has been created using X-CSIT so that data taken with a Fe-55 source by a pnSensor GmbH can be used for initial testing
  - This has 200x128 pixels, a 75 micrometer pitch, 300 micrometer depth and an entrance window
- The data sets from the pnCCD and from the simulation were then run through the same analysis pipeline which has been validated for the CERN Axion Solar Telescope CCD





• Raw, uncorrected histogram • Good,  $3\sigma$  agreement between data and measurement



# 

# **Testing - pnCCD**



Noise map and histograms from simulated (Left) and measured (Right) dark frames

This discrepancy is responsible for the bad matching of the noise peak in the previous histogram

The measured dark frames were not perfectly shielded





- Scatter plots of energy in adjacent pixels that were analysed to be from a single event
  - Offset and common mode corrected
- Simulated plots are symmetrical and show similar features to each other
- Isotropy between partners and in space
- Simulation plots also show similar features to the measured plot, although the simulation lacks background



Singles	Doubles	Triples	Quads

- Absorption spectra by event pattern, offset and common mode corrected
- Simulated and measured data show similar features, although ratios of events patterns differ



![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

() strong 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>2</sup>

- Charge transfer inefficiency, gain, offset and common mode corrected histograms using only first singles (the first event in a charge transfer column)
- The fit shapes, positions and ratios match closely between simulated and measured data

	Simulated	Measured	Real
Mn Kα	5892.78 (+-2.61) eV	5891.41 (+-2.46) eV	5895.02 eV
FWHM Mn Kα	151.63 (+-0.58) eV	141.20 (+-0.35) eV	
Mn Kβ	6490.19 (+-3.37) eV	6488.13 (+-3.05) eV	6490.45 eV
FWHM Mn Kβ	158.50 (+-1.81) eV	157.67 (+-1.17) eV	

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Conclusion and Outlook**

- X-CSIT is a toolkit for creating simulations of 2D semiconductor pixel detectors
  - This includes photon interaction, charge sharing between pixels and electronic readout
- An early version of X-CSIT has been used to simulate a prototype of pnCCD
  - Early comparisons indicate good agreement between measurements and simulation, within  $3\sigma$  on the raw spectrum
- X-CSIT will be used to simulate the pixel detectors at European XFEL and be made available for users through integration into Karabo
- All of the simulations and components of X-CSIT will be validated using detectors and sources available at XFEL
- After work on X-CSIT has been finished and the software has been validated, X-CSIT will be made available as open source for other users or groups

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

CAD Model

STL Model

'international standard', 'automotive\_design', 2001, #45 );

. #60, #61 );

#62);

#### **CAD to Geant4 Tool**

- To aid with creating set up geometries for X-CSIT, a tool was written to convert CAD step files to GDML for Geant4
- Was built with Qt4 and based on pythonOCC[1], a python wrapper of the openCascade[2]
- [1] http://www.pythonocc.org/
- [2] http://www.opencascade.org/

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

ISO-10303-21; HEADER;

'Unknown'.

ENDSEC;

FILE DESCRIPTION( ( 'Unknown' ), '1' );

FILE\_SCHEMA( ( 'AUTOMOTIVE\_DESIGN { 1 0 10303 214 1 1 1 1 }' ) );

introduct DEFINITION\_CONTEXT( '', #45, 'design'); #2 = APPLICATION\_PROTOCOLDEFINITION' 'Iternational standard', #3 = PRODUCT\_CATEGORSET DEFINITION' ( DON DON', #46, #47); #4 = SHAPE\_DEFINITION #5 = PRODUCT\_CATEGORY\_RELATIONATIC 'NONE', 'NONE', #46, #50);

#8 = SHAPE\_REPRESENTATION\_RELATIONSHIP( 'NONE', 'NONE', #54, #55 ); #9 = PRODUCT\_CATEGORY\_RELATIONSHIP( 'NONE', 'NONE', #46, #56 );

= CONTEXT\_DEPENDENT\_SHAPE\_REPRESENTATION( #51, #52 ); = SHAPE\_DEFINITION\_REPRESENTATION( #53, #54 );

#10 = CONTEXT\_DEPENDENT\_SHAPE\_REPRESENTATION( #

#11 = SHAPE\_DEFINITION\_REPRESENTATION( #59, #60

#12 = SHAPE\_REPRESENTATION\_RELATIONSHIP( 'NONE' #13 = PRODUCT CATEGORY RELATIONSHIP( 'NONE', 'N

STL-file

FILE\_NAME( 'D:/Solid Edge documents/Source Chamber/Remodelovanje/Base 63mm/TEST-Chamber-63mm Base (proper MLS-DN40CF with MIni-X).stp', 'Unknown', ( 'Unknown' ), ( 'Unknown' ), 'PSStep 14.0',

Standard

Tessellation

Language